



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,709	10/06/2000	Raghavan Anand	2-17-16	2111	
75	7590 03/26/2004			EXAMINER	
Joseph B. Ryan			RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT		
	Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue			PAPER NUMBER	
Locust Valley,	NY 11560	·	2613	. ^	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 12 42						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/680,709	ANAND ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<u> </u>	Andy S. Rao	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) Monthly that the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 March 2004.						
·:	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee	Application No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _						

Application/Control Number: 09/680,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection as Paper 11 on 3/18/04. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/22/04 as Paper 9 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-20 as filed in Paper 9 on 1/22/04 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on newly cited sections of the previously applied references.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aharoni et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Aharoni").

Aharoni discloses a method of processing a video signal (Aharoni: figure 11) for transmission (Aharoni: column 6, lines 60-65) over a heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 7, lines 24-35), the method comprising the steps of: coding the video in a progressive video

Art Unit: 2613

coder (Aharoni: column 8, lines 52-64) so as to generate a progressive video bit stream (Aharoni: column 9, lines 52-65), wherein the progressive coded video bit stream is configured to be decodeable at any one of a series of increasing bit rates up to maximum bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-65), depending on which of a number of corresponding portions of the progressive coded video bit stream area received by a decoder (Aharoni: column 9, lines 25-35), the portions being arranged within the progressive coded video bit stream in a predetermined sequence of increasing bit rates from an initial portion associated with a lowest one of the bit rates to a final portion associated with a highest one of the bit rate rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 60-67; column 12, lines 1-20), and wherein each of the series of increasing bit rates produce progressively better reconstructed quality at an output of the decoder (Aharoni: column 8, lines 1-19); transmitting the progressive coded video bit stream over a first part of the heterogeneous network at a first one of the bit rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 25-44); and selectively transmitting one or more of the portions of the progressive coded video bit stream from the first part of the heterogeneous network to a second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 6, lines 45-50), the one or more portions being associated with a second one of the bit rates lower than the first bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-64), the one or more portions and the associated second bit rate being selected based on at least in part on one or more of: an error detected in the transmission over the first part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 9, lines 35-67; column 10, lines 1-21); and a characteristic of the second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 17, lines 40-67), as in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 2, Aharoni discloses that the progressive coded video bit stream corresponds to a given group of frames of the video signal (Aharoni: column 10, lines 20-50), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 3, Aharoni discloses that each of the corresponding portions is associated with a different bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 52-60), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 4, Aharoni discloses that a subset of the corresponding portions are associated with different frame rates (Aharoni: column 7, lines 1-6), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 5, Aharoni discloses that a subset of the corresponding portion are associated with different spatial resolutions (Aharoni: column 7, lines 1-3), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 6, Aharoni discloses that a subset of the corresponding portions are associated with different signal-to-noise ratios (Aharoni: column 9, lines 57-67; column 10, lines 1-20), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 7, Aharoni discloses that the particular portions of the progressive coded video bit stream are received over the first part of the heterogeneous network determine a corresponding amount of the bit stream that will be transmitted over the second part of the heterogeneous network to the decoder for decoding a particular one of the series of increasing bit rates (Aharoni: column 13, lines 10-55), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 8-9, Aharoni discloses that the wired network connection comprises a wired Internet connection (Aharoni: column 6, lines 35-45), as in the claims.

Aharoni discloses an apparatus for use in processing a video signal (Aharoni: figures 1-2), the video signal being coded so as to generate a progressive video bit stream (Aharoni: column 9, lines 52-65), wherein the progressive coded video bit stream is configured to be

Art Unit: 2613

decodeable at any one of a series of increasing bit rates up to maximum bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-65), depending on which of a number of corresponding portions of the progressive coded video bit stream area received by a decoder (Aharoni: column 9, lines 25-35), and wherein each of the series of increasing bit rates produce progressively better reconstructed quality at an output of the decoder (Aharoni: column 8, lines 1-19), the progressive coded video bit stream being transmitted over a first part of the heterogeneous network at a first one of the bit rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 25-44), the apparatus comprising: a network element coupled between the first part and the second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 6, lines 45-55), the network element being operative to selectively transmitting one or more of the portions of the progressive coded video bit stream from the first part of the heterogeneous network to a second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 6, lines 45-50), the one or more portions being associated with a second one of the bit rates lower than the first bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-64), the one or more portions and the associated second bit rate being selected based on at least in part on one or more of: an error detected in the transmission over the first part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 9, lines 35-67; column 10, lines 1-21); and a characteristic of the second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 17, lines 40-60), wherein the portions being arranged within the progressive coded video bit stream in a predetermined sequence of increasing bit rates from an initial portion associated with a lowest one of the bit rates to a final portion associated with a highest one of the bit rate rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 60-67; column 12, lines 1-20); as in claim 10.

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 11, Aharoni discloses that the progressive coded video bit stream corresponds to a given group of frames of the video signal (Aharoni: column 10, lines 20-50), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 12, Aharoni discloses that each of the corresponding portions is associated with a different bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 52-60), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 13, Aharoni discloses that a subset of the corresponding portions are associated with different frame rates (Aharoni: column 7, lines 1-6), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 14, Aharoni discloses that a subset of the corresponding portion are associated with different spatial resolutions (Aharoni: column 7, lines 1-3), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 15, Aharoni discloses that a subset of the corresponding portions are associated with different signal-to-noise ratios (Aharoni: column 9, lines 57-67; column 10, lines 1-20), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 16, Aharoni discloses that the particular portions of the progressive coded video bit stream are received over the first part of the heterogeneous network determine a corresponding amount of the bit stream that will be transmitted over the second part of the heterogeneous network to the decoder for decoding a particular one of the series of increasing bit rates (Aharoni: column 13, lines 10-55), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 17-18, Aharoni discloses that the wired network connection comprises a wired Internet connection (Aharoni: column 6, lines 35-45), as in the claims.

Aharoni a video transmission system (Aharoni: figures 1-2), comprising: a progressive coder operative to code a video signal so as to generate a progressive video bit stream (Aharoni: column 9, lines 52-65), wherein the progressive coded video bit stream is configured to be

Art Unit: 2613

decodeable at any one of a series of increasing bit rates up to maximum bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-65), depending on which of a number of corresponding portions of the progressive coded video bit stream area received by a decoder (Aharoni: column 9, lines 25-35), the portions being arranged within the progressive coded video bit stream in a predetermined sequence of increasing bit rates from an initial portion associated with a lowest one of the bit rates to a final portion associated with a highest one of the bit rate rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 60-67; column 12, lines 1-20), and wherein each of the series of increasing bit rates produce progressively better reconstructed quality at an output of the decoder (Aharoni: column 8, lines 1-19); a heterogeneous network comprising at least a first part and a second part (Aharoni: column 7, lines 25-30), the progressive coded video bit stream being transmitted over a first part of the heterogeneous network at a first one of the bit rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 25-44); and a network element coupled between the first part and the second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 6, lines 45-55), the network element being operative to selectively transmitting one or more of the portions of the progressive coded video bit stream from the first part of the heterogeneous network to a second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 6, lines 45-50), the one or more portions being associated with a second one of the bit rates lower than the first bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-64), the one or more portions and the associated second bit rate being selected based on at least in part on one or more of: an error detected in the transmission over the first part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 9, lines 35-67; column 10, lines 1-21); and a characteristic of the second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 17, lines 40-67), as in claim 20.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,709 Page 8

Art Unit: 2613

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aharoni et al., (hereinafter referred to "Aharoni") in view of Chou et al., (hereinafter referred to as "Chou").

Aharoni discloses processing a video signal (Aharoni: figures 1-2), the video signal being coded so as to generate a progressive video bit stream (Aharoni: column 9, lines 52-65), wherein the progressive coded video bit stream is configured to be decodeable at any one of a series of increasing bit rates up to maximum bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-65), depending on which of a number of corresponding portions of the progressive coded video bit stream area received by a decoder (Aharoni: column 9, lines 25-35), the portions being arranged within the progressive coded video bit stream in a predetermined sequence of increasing bit rates from an initial portion associated with a lowest one of the bit rates to a final portion associated with a highest one of the bit rate rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 60-67, column 12, lines 1-20), and wherein each of the series of increasing bit rates produce progressively better reconstructed quality at an output of the decoder (Aharoni: column 8, lines 1-19), the progressive coded video bit stream being transmitted over a first part of the heterogeneous network at a first one of the bit rates (Aharoni: column 11, lines 25-44); and implementing the step of selectively transmitting one or more of the portions of the progressive coded video bit stream from the first part of the heterogeneous network to a second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 6, lines

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/680,709

Art Unit: 2613

45-50), the one or more portions being associated with a second one of the bit rates lower than the first bit rate (Aharoni: column 10, lines 50-64), the one or more portions and the associated second bit rate being selected based on at least in part on one or more of: an error detected in the transmission over the first part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 9, lines 35-67; column 10, lines 1-21); and a characteristic of the second part of the heterogeneous network (Aharoni: column 17, lines 40-67), as in claim 19. However, even though Aharoni discloses the use of a computing system (Aharoni: column 7, lines 50-55), it fails to disclose an article of manufacture comprising a storage medium for storing one or more software programs for use in processing a video signal for transmission over a heterogeneous network, as in the claim. Chou discloses the well known methodology of implementing a video processing method by means of software routines stored on a computer readable medium (Chou: column 6, lines 10-35) in order to allow for video processing at remote sites (Chou: column 6, lines 40-45). Accordingly, given this teaching it would have been obvious one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Chou's teaching of software implementation with the Aharoni video processing system in order to allow for processing at remote sites. The Aharoni video processing system, now being modified to be implemented by software on a computer readable media as shown in Chou, has all of the features of claim 19.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (703)-305-4813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S. Kelley can be reached on (703)-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

ANDY BAO PRIMABY EXAMINER

asr March 25, 2004